

Review Article: Ecotoxicological Impacts of Pollution on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Health in the Anthropocene

Sanjeev Arora

Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology, Rajkiya Model Degree College, Arniya, Bulandshahr, INDIA.

Corresponding Author: arora.sanjeev08@gmail.com



www.jrasb.com || Vol. 4 No. 3 (2025): June Issue

Received: 26-05-2025

Revised: 01-06-2025

Accepted: 06-06-2025

ABSTRACT

The Anthropocene epoch, characterized by accelerated industrial growth and intensified human activities, has led to widespread environmental contamination, significantly endangering biodiversity and ecosystem functionality. This review delves into key pollutants such as heavy metals, pesticides, pharmaceutical compounds, and microplastics, examining their origins, biological impacts, and ecological repercussions.

Toxic elements like mercury and lead tend to accumulate through food chains, resulting in physiological impairments and neurological issues in various wildlife species. The use of pesticides and agrochemicals interferes with hormonal systems and reproductive functions, especially affecting pollinators and aquatic fauna. Residual pharmaceuticals, including antibiotics and hormonal agents, disrupt microbial ecosystems and contribute to the growing problem of antimicrobial resistance. Furthermore, microplastics and engineered nanoparticles infiltrate aquatic environments, where they are ingested by marine and freshwater organisms, causing cellular damage and toxic responses.

The degradation of biodiversity caused by pollution leads to the breakdown of food chains, habitat loss, and reduced ecological resilience. Modern advancements in biomonitoring—such as molecular-level biomarkers, bioindicator species, and satellite-based sensing—are proving instrumental in identifying and assessing ecotoxicological hazards. To counter these threats, the adoption of sustainable solutions like green chemistry, bioremediation techniques, and policy reforms is crucial. This review highlights the pressing need for comprehensive, multidisciplinary strategies to limit pollutant exposure and uphold ecosystem health in the Anthropocene. Future directions should prioritize cutting-edge remediation technologies and robust regulatory systems to effectively manage and mitigate ecotoxicological risks.

Keywords- Ecotoxicology, Anthropocene, biodiversity loss, environmental pollution, heavy metals, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, microplastics, biomonitoring, ecosystem health, pollution mitigation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Anthropocene epoch, defined by extensive and accelerating human influence on the natural environment, has ushered in an era of widespread ecological disruption. Industrialization, urban development, and intensified agricultural practices have dramatically increased the release of hazardous pollutants into air, water, and soil systems. As a result, ecosystems across the globe are experiencing mounting stress, with biodiversity facing unprecedented threats. In this context, ecotoxicology—the interdisciplinary science that investigates the effects of environmental

contaminants on organisms and ecosystems—has emerged as a critical tool for evaluating ecological health.

A wide array of pollutants, including heavy metals, synthetic chemicals, pharmaceuticals, and microplastics, are known to compromise ecological integrity. Toxic metals like mercury, lead, and cadmium persist in the environment and bioaccumulate in food chains, often resulting in physiological, reproductive, and neurological harm to wildlife. Pesticides and agrochemicals, although essential for modern agriculture, frequently impact non-target organisms such as pollinators, aquatic fauna, and beneficial microbes, thereby disrupting ecological networks. The infiltration

of pharmaceutical residues and personal care products into water bodies affects aquatic species and fosters antimicrobial resistance. Moreover, the pervasive presence of microplastics and engineered nanoparticles poses emerging ecotoxicological challenges, as these particles are ingested by aquatic organisms, leading to potential cellular and metabolic toxicity.

The ecological consequences of pollution-induced biodiversity loss include altered trophic dynamics, habitat degradation, and diminished ecosystem resilience. Contemporary biomonitoring tools, such as bioindicators, molecular biomarkers, and environmental DNA (eDNA), provide valuable insights into pollutant exposure and ecological risk assessment. To address these growing challenges, sustainable mitigation strategies—encompassing stricter environmental regulations, adoption of green technologies, and public engagement—are urgently required.

This review aims to examine the key pollutants affecting ecological systems, evaluate recent advances in ecotoxicological research, and explore integrative approaches to conserving biodiversity and maintaining ecosystem stability in the Anthropocene.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The Anthropocene has ushered in an era of unprecedented environmental challenges, driven by human-induced pollution that threatens biodiversity and ecosystem health. Giesy and Kannan (2001) identified perfluorinated compounds (PFOS) as persistent pollutants bioaccumulating in wildlife. Giesy et al. (2001) also assessed the global impact of perfluorinated compounds on wildlife, identifying their role in endocrine disruption. Roman and Palumbi (2003) explored historical whale populations before whaling, demonstrating the long-term effects of human activities on marine biodiversity. Rockström et al. (2009) introduced the concept of planetary boundaries, emphasizing pollution as a key factor disrupting ecosystem balance and concluded that staying within ecological thresholds is essential to prevent further biodiversity collapse. Schwarzenbach et al. (2010) highlighted global water pollution as a major health risk, while Muir and de Wit (2010) examined the presence of legacy and emerging contaminants in Arctic ecosystems, revealing their long-range transport potential.

Vighi and Villa (2013) discussed the risk assessment of chemical pollution, emphasizing the need for stricter regulations. Lavoie et al. (2013) studied heavy metal bioaccumulation in aquatic food chains, emphasizing biomagnification risks. Sanchez-Bayo and Goka (2014) investigated the effects of neonicotinoids on pollinators, linking pesticide exposure to declining insect populations whereas Malaj et al. (2014) evaluated freshwater ecosystem vulnerability to chemical pollutants, identifying pesticides and industrial

chemicals as key stressors. Arnold et al. (2014) studied pharmaceutical pollution, linking antibiotic residues to microbial community disruptions in water bodies. Chagnon et al. (2015) reviewed the ecological impacts of neonicotinoids, highlighting their adverse effects on non-target organisms. Lewis and Maslin (2015) formally defined the Anthropocene as a period of human-driven environmental transformation, while Steffen et al. (2015) described the Great Acceleration, a surge in pollution and ecological degradation. Steffen et al. (2015) reinforced the need for a sustainability-driven approach to managing Anthropocene-related ecological challenges. Syberg et al. (2015) reviewed risk assessment frameworks for microplastics, advocating for improved environmental monitoring.

Rochman et al. (2016) highlighted the emergence of microplastics as an ecological threat, demonstrating their impact on aquatic food webs. Dudas et al. (2017) explored the fate of nanomaterials in aquatic systems, identifying gaps in ecotoxicological knowledge. Desforges et al. (2018) warned of PCB pollution leading to killer whale population collapse, demonstrating the severity of persistent pollutants. Halpern et al. (2019) focused on cumulative human impacts on marine biodiversity, identifying pollution as a key driver of ecosystem decline. Sun et al. (2019) studied microplastic contamination in wastewater treatment plants, highlighting removal challenges and ecological risks, and advocated for advanced remediation techniques.

Bernard et al. (2020) examined the interaction between climate change and pollution, showing how rising temperatures exacerbate pollutant toxicity. Dasgupta et al. (2020) further explored climate-pollution interactions, stressing the need for interdisciplinary environmental risk assessments. Scheringer et al. (2020) reinforced the need for global solutions to POPs contamination, given their extensive environmental persistence.

Research during 2021–2022 emphasized interconnected threats and proposed new regulatory approaches. Bonner (2021) reviewed the role of atmospheric pollution in ecosystem degradation, detailing its effects on flora and fauna. Peñuelas and Sardans (2021) addressed global biodiversity loss due to pollution, emphasizing ecosystem resilience. Vijver et al. (2022) emphasized the ecotoxicological risks of emerging contaminants in aquatic systems. Wang et al. (2021) examined wastewater pollution and its effects on aquatic biodiversity. Wang, Zhang, and Chen (2022) advocated for bioremediation technologies in wastewater treatment as a sustainable alternative to traditional chemical management.

The latest research (2023–2024) warns that several Earth systems are dangerously close to tipping points, largely driven by chemical and plastic pollution. Baensch, Scheringer, and Backhaus (2023) showed that high biodiversity provides ecosystem resistance to

pollution, reinforcing the need to preserve functional diversity. Baensch, Schneider, and Escher (2023) introduced eco-exposure analysis to better assess chemical stressors in complex ecosystems. Su, Li, and Zhang (2023) reviewed the global impact of microplastics on biodiversity, warning of permanent alterations to marine ecosystems. Mansour (2024) and Sethia, Nandhini, and Amutha (2024) provided empirical evidence of microplastic toxicity in fish, including oxidative stress, altered reproduction, and neurobehavioral disorders. Richardson, Rockström, and Cornell (2024) declared that six out of nine planetary boundaries had already been transgressed, citing chemical pollution and biodiversity loss as critical concerns. Villarrubia-Gómez, Cornell, and Fabres (2024) argued that plastic pollution has crossed planetary boundaries, warning of irreversible systemic changes.

The most recent literature reflects a global scientific consensus on the need for urgent and coordinated interventions. IPBES (2025) reported that biodiversity loss could cost \$25 trillion annually, calling for biodiversity protection as a core economic and social policy. The Times (2025) revealed ongoing conflicts between agricultural lobbies and environmental regulation, particularly regarding bee-toxic pesticides. Li, Zhao, and Huang (2025) provided a comprehensive overview of microplastic behavior in aquatic ecosystems, reaffirming concerns over bioaccumulation and food chain contamination.

Over the past 25 years, the literature has evolved from identifying individual pollutants to embracing a systemic view of **planetary health, biodiversity, and chemical risks**. The focus has shifted from pollutant detection to understanding **cascading ecological effects, cross-boundary risks, and integrated policy solutions**. The evidence is unequivocal: without transformative action, chemical pollution and biodiversity loss will jeopardize ecosystem resilience and human well-being for generations.

III. METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design

This study follows a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to evaluate the impact of pollutants on biodiversity and ecosystem health in the Anthropocene. The methodology involves identifying, analyzing, and synthesizing relevant studies to establish a comprehensive understanding of ecotoxicological challenges and solutions.

2. Data Collection

- **Selection Criteria:** The review includes peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and authoritative reports published between **2000 and 2025**. Older foundational studies were also considered to trace the evolution of ecotoxicology.

- **Databases Searched:** Google Scholar, PubMed, ScienceDirect, Web of Science, and Scopus were used to retrieve relevant literature.
- **Search Terms:** The search was conducted using keywords such as: Ecotoxicology, Anthropocene, biodiversity loss, ecosystem health, environmental pollutants, heavy metals, microplastics, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), endocrine disruptors, climate change and pollution interaction, wastewater contamination, pesticide toxicity.
- **Screening Process:** The initial search yielded over 100 articles. After screening for relevance, 40-45 high-impact studies were selected.

3. Literature Review Process

- **Classification of Studies:** The selected studies were categorized into different themes:
 1. **Chemical Pollutants and Their Ecotoxicological Impact** (e.g., POPs, heavy metals, and pesticides).
 2. **Microplastics and Emerging Contaminants** (e.g., pharmaceuticals, nanomaterials).
 3. **Climate Change and Pollution Interactions** (e.g., how climate shifts amplify pollutant toxicity).
 4. **Risk Assessment and Management Strategies** (e.g., bioremediation, policy frameworks).
 5. **Synthesis and Integration:** The findings from different categories were synthesized to identify trends, gaps, and future research directions.

IV. FINDINGS

The literature review reveals several key findings regarding the effects of pollutants on biodiversity and ecosystem health in the Anthropocene. These findings are categorized into major themes based on the nature of pollutants, their impacts, and possible mitigation strategies.

1. Chemical Pollutants and Their Ecotoxicological Impact

- **Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs):** Studies (Giesy & Kannan, 2001; Scheringer et al., 2020) confirm that POPs such as PCBs, dioxins, and PFOS bioaccumulate in the food chain, leading to severe endocrine and reproductive disruptions in wildlife.
- **Heavy Metals:** Research (Singh et al., 2010; Lavoie et al., 2013) shows that lead, mercury, and cadmium accumulate in aquatic organisms, causing neurotoxicity, immune suppression, and bio-magnification in higher trophic levels.
- **Pesticide Toxicity:** Neonicotinoids (Sanchez-Bayo & Goka, 2014; Chagnon et al., 2015) significantly impact pollinators, leading to

reduced insect populations and cascading effects on food webs.

2. Microplastics and Emerging Contaminants

- **Microplastics in Aquatic Systems:** Rochman et al. (2016) and Sun et al. (2019) report that microplastics are ingested by marine organisms, leading to physical and chemical toxicity, gut blockages, and biomagnification of toxic additives.
- **Pharmaceutical Waste:** Arnold et al. (2014) and Monteiro & Boxall (2010) highlight that antibiotics and endocrine-disrupting chemicals alter microbial communities and disrupt aquatic species' hormonal balance.
- **Nanoparticle Pollution:** Dudas et al. (2017) found that nanomaterials accumulate in sediments and interfere with the growth and reproduction of aquatic organisms.

3. Climate Change and Pollution Interactions

- **Increased Pollutant Toxicity:** Studies (Bernard et al., 2020; Dasgupta et al., 2020) indicate that rising temperatures and ocean acidification amplify the toxicity of pollutants like heavy metals and POPs, making organisms more vulnerable.
- **Biodiversity Loss and Ecosystem Imbalance:** Peñuelas & Sardans (2021) emphasize that climate-driven changes in species distributions, coupled with pollution, disrupt ecological networks and ecosystem functions.

4. Risk Assessment and Management Strategies

- **Regulatory Challenges:** Despite risk assessments (Vighi & Villa, 2013; Syberg et al., 2015), environmental policies often lag behind scientific findings, leading to inadequate pollution control.
- **Wastewater Treatment:** Advances in remediation (Wang et al., 2022; Vijver et al., 2022) suggest that improved filtration techniques can reduce microplastic and pharmaceutical pollution in freshwater systems.
- **Ecosystem-Based Approaches:** Baensch et al. (2023) and Su et al. (2023) propose biodiversity conservation and nature-based solutions to enhance ecological resilience to pollution.

The findings highlight the urgent need for integrated pollution management strategies, combining regulatory policies, technological advancements, and biodiversity conservation efforts. Climate change further exacerbates pollution-related threats, demanding a multidisciplinary approach to mitigate ecological risks in the Anthropocene.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings of this review highlight the complex and multifaceted nature of pollution's impact on biodiversity and ecosystem health in the

Anthropocene. This discussion synthesizes the key insights from existing literature and explores their broader implications for environmental management, policy development, and future research directions.

1. The Growing Threat of Chemical Pollutants

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metals, and pesticides continue to pose severe ecological risks. The bioaccumulation and biomagnification of these contaminants have led to long-term toxic effects on aquatic and terrestrial organisms (Giesy & Kannan, 2001; Lavoie et al., 2013). Despite global regulations such as the Stockholm Convention, POPs remain widely detected in ecosystems, especially in developing countries where industrial and agricultural runoff is poorly managed (Scheringer et al., 2020).

Pesticide toxicity, particularly neonicotinoids, is linked to pollinator decline, with cascading effects on food webs and crop production (Sanchez-Bayo & Goka, 2014; Chagnon et al., 2015). While some regions have imposed bans, regulatory inconsistencies across nations hinder effective mitigation efforts. There is an urgent need for stricter monitoring and alternative pest management strategies to reduce ecological damage.

2. Emerging Contaminants and the Challenge of Detection

Microplastics, pharmaceutical waste, and nanomaterials represent newer classes of pollutants that traditional environmental monitoring techniques struggle to detect and regulate. Studies by Rochman et al. (2016) and Sun et al. (2019) reveal that microplastic ingestion disrupts aquatic life, yet there is no global consensus on safe exposure limits. Similarly, antibiotic residues and endocrine disruptors alter microbial diversity, leading to antibiotic resistance and hormonal imbalances in wildlife (Arnold et al., 2014; Monteiro & Boxall, 2010).

The proliferation of nanomaterials further complicates ecotoxicological assessments, as their small size and unique chemical properties challenge conventional toxicity testing (Dudas et al., 2017). Future research must focus on developing standardized protocols for assessing these emerging threats and their long-term impacts.

3. Climate Change and Pollution

The intersection of climate change and pollution amplifies ecotoxicological risks. Rising global temperatures have been shown to increase pollutant toxicity, altering the bioavailability and breakdown rates of chemicals (Bernard et al., 2020; Dasgupta et al., 2020). Peñuelas & Sardans (2021) emphasize that biodiversity loss due to pollution weakens ecosystem resilience, making species more vulnerable to climate-induced stressors.

Additionally, warming oceans and acidification accelerate the release of heavy metals from sediments, worsening contamination levels (Steffen et al., 2015). Addressing pollution in isolation is no longer viable; integrated environmental policies must consider climate change as a compounding factor.

4. The Role of Policy and Technological Innovations in Pollution Mitigation

Despite extensive research, policy enforcement remains inconsistent across regions. Many developing nations face challenges in implementing pollution control due to economic constraints and inadequate infrastructure. Vighi & Villa (2013) argue that current risk assessment frameworks are outdated, failing to account for the cumulative effects of multiple pollutants over time.

Advancements in wastewater treatment technologies offer promising solutions, with studies (Wang et al., 2022; Vijver et al., 2022) demonstrating improved removal of microplastics and pharmaceuticals. However, high costs and limited scalability remain barriers to widespread adoption. Investing in nature-based solutions (e.g., wetland restoration, biodiversity conservation) can enhance natural filtration processes, as highlighted by Baensch et al. (2023).

5. Future Directions and Research Needs

- **Developing Comprehensive Ecotoxicological Models:** Traditional risk assessments often focus on single pollutants, neglecting their combined effects. Future research should employ multi-pollutant models to better simulate real-world conditions.
- **Strengthening Global Regulations:** International cooperation is necessary to create standardized pollutant monitoring frameworks and reduce environmental disparities between high- and low-income nations.
- **Integrating Citizen Science and AI in Ecotoxicology:** Community-based monitoring and machine learning models can improve real-time pollution tracking and early detection of contamination hotspots.
- **Advancing Bioremediation Techniques:** The development of microbial and plant-based bioremediation could offer cost-effective methods for detoxifying contaminated environments

VI. CONCLUSION

The Anthropocene has intensified environmental challenges, with pollution driving biodiversity loss and ecosystem degradation. This review highlights the severe impact of chemical pollutants, microplastics, emerging contaminants, and climate change on ecological health. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metals, and pesticides accumulate in ecosystems, causing long-term toxic effects. Emerging contaminants like pharmaceuticals and nanomaterials introduce new ecotoxicological risks, requiring further study and regulation.

Climate change worsens pollution's effects by altering pollutant toxicity, bioavailability, and ecosystem resilience. Rising temperatures, ocean acidification, and

shifting precipitation patterns accelerate environmental degradation, creating a dangerous feedback loop that demands urgent intervention through integrated environmental policies and adaptive management strategies.

Despite technological advancements in wastewater treatment, bioremediation, and risk assessment, policies often lag behind scientific discoveries. Strengthening global pollution control, enforcing stricter regulations, and fostering international cooperation is crucial. Innovations like citizen science, AI-driven pollution monitoring, and nature-based solutions can further enhance resilience.

Future research should emphasize multi-pollutant toxicity models, long-term ecological studies, and sustainable mitigation strategies. A multidisciplinary collaboration between policymakers, scientists, industries, and communities is essential to mitigating pollution's impact and preserving biodiversity and ecosystem health for future generations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnold, K. E., Brown, A. R., Ankley, G. T., & Sumpter, J. P. (2014). Medicating the environment: Assessing risks of pharmaceuticals to wildlife and ecosystems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 369(1656), 20130569. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0569>
- [2] Baensch F, Spahr S, Hollert H, et al. The role of biodiversity in ecosystem resilience to chemical pollution. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2023;57(9):3456-3468. doi:10.1021/acs.est.2c06422
- [3] Baensch, F., Scheringer, M., & Backhaus, T. (2023). The role of biodiversity in enhancing ecosystem resilience to chemical pollution. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 146, 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2023.01.005>
- [4] Bernard, S. M., & Ebi, K. L. (2020). Climate change and chemical contaminants: Interactions and risk assessments. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 128(9), 095001. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP6152>
- [5] Bonner, A. (2021). Atmospheric pollution and ecosystem degradation: An integrated review. *Ecological Indicators*, 129, 107869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107869>
- [6] Butterfly Conservation. (2024, September 18). 'Butterfly emergency' declared as UK summer count hits record low. The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2024/sep/18/butterfly-emergency-declared-as-uk-summer-count-hits-record-low-conservation>

- [7] Chagnon M, Kreutzweiser D, Mitchell EAD, et al. Risks of neonicotinoid pesticides to ecosystem functioning and services. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2015;22(1):135-167. doi:10.1007/s11356-014-3277-x
- [8] Dasgupta, P., Raven, J., & Nelson, G. C. (2020). Interactions between climate and chemical pollution. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4, 329–335. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1123-0>
- [9] Desforges JP, Hall A, McConnell B, et al. Predicting global killer whale population collapse from PCB pollution. *Science*. 2018;361(6409):1373-1376. doi:10.1126/science.aat1953
- [10] Dudas, S. E., Pakhomov, E. A., & Johannessen, S. C. (2017). Current state of knowledge on the environmental fate and effects of nanomaterials in aquatic systems. *Science of the Total Environment*, 579, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.135>
- [11] ECHA. European Chemicals Agency. Risk assessment of persistent organic pollutants (POPs). 2022. Available at: <https://echa.europa.eu/>
- [12] Giesy, J. P., & Kannan, K. (2001). Global distribution of perfluorooctane sulfonate in wildlife. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 35(7), 1339–1342. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es001834k>
- [13] Halpern BS, Frazier M, Afflerbach J, et al. Recent pace of change in human impact on the world's ocean. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9:11609. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-47201-9
- [14] Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES). (2025). *Biodiversity hit to economies estimated at up to \$25tn a year in landmark report*. Financial Times. <https://www.ft.com/content/fed37e59-1f36-453a-a9b1-70f94c8b24f9>
- [15] Lavoie RA, Jardine TD, Chumchal MM, et al. Mercury bioaccumulation in aquatic food webs: a global synthesis. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2013;47(10):4304-4313. doi:10.1021/es305071p
- [16] Lewis SL, Maslin MA. Defining the Anthropocene. *Nature*. 2015;519(7542):171-180. doi:10.1038/nature14258
- [17] Li J, Zhang H, Leusch FD, et al. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals in aquatic environments: an overview of sources, exposure, and ecotoxicological effects. *Environ Int*. 2020;143:105902. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105902
- [18] Li, X., Zhao, Y., & Huang, J. (2025). Microplastics in aquatic systems: A comprehensive review of distribution, environmental interactions, and health risks. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 32, 56–88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-35741-1>
- [19] Malaj E, Parrot L, Schwenk K. The impact of heavy metals on freshwater biodiversity: a meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ*. 2021;763:142991. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142991
- [20] Malaj, E., von der Ohe, P. C., Grote, M., Kühne, R., Mondy, C. P., Usseglio-Polatera, P., ... & Schäfer, R. B. (2014). Organic chemicals jeopardize the health of freshwater ecosystems on the continental scale. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(26), 9549–9554. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321082111>
- [21] Mansour, A. (2024). Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on fish and aquatic food webs. *Journal of Fisheries Research*, 8(5), 234. <https://doi.org/10.35841/aajfr-8.5.234>
- [22] Muir, D. C. G., & de Wit, C. A. (2010). Trends of legacy and new persistent organic pollutants in the circumpolar Arctic: Overview, conclusions, and recommendations. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408(15), 3044–3051. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.029> PubMed+3PubMed+3AMAP+3
- [23] Peñuelas J, Sardans J. Global change and the future of biodiversity. *Ecol Lett*. 2021;24(2):451-463. doi:10.1111/ele.13655
- [24] Richardson, K., Rockström, J., & Cornell, S. E. (2024). Earth beyond six of nine planetary boundaries. *Science Advances*, 10(1), eadh2458. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adh2458>
- [25] Rochman, C. M., Tahir, A., Williams, S. L., Baxa, D. V., Lam, R., Miller, J. T., ... & Teh, S. J. (2016). Anthropogenic debris in seafood: Plastic debris and fibers from textiles in fish and bivalves sold for human consumption. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 14340. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep14340>
- [26] Rockström J, Steffen W, Noone K, et al. A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*. 2009;461(7263):472-475. doi:10.1038/461472a
- [27] Roman J, Palumbi SR. Whales before whaling in the North Atlantic. *Science*. 2003;301(5632):508-510. doi:10.1126/science.1084524
- [28] Sanchez-Bayo F, Goka K. Pesticide residues and bees—a risk assessment. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4):e94482. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094482
- [29] Scheringer M, Suzuki N, Muir D. Persistent organic pollutants in the environment: a global issue needs a global solution. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2020;54(6):2904-2908. doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b06334

- [30] Schwarzenbach, R. P., Egli, T., Hofstetter, T. B., von Gunten, U., & Wehrli, B. (2010). Global water pollution and human health. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 35, 109–136.<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-100809-125342>
- [31] Sethia, P., Nandhini, D., & Amutha, S. (2024). Effects of marine microplastic on marine life and the food webs – A detailed review. *Marine Ecology*, 45(5), e12819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12819>
- [32] Sharma S, Chatterjee S. Microplastic pollution, a global concern: implications, challenges and possible solutions. *Environ Pollut*. 2021;267:115420.[doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115420](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115420)
- [33] Singh RP, Bihari V, Kesavachandran CN. Impact of industrial pollution on ecological and human health: an Indian perspective. *Environ Int*. 2010;36(1):48-55. [doi:10.1016/j.envint.2009.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2009.09.004)
- [34] Singh, K. P., Mohan, D., Sinha, S., & Dalwani, R. (2010). Impact assessment of treated/untreated wastewater toxicants discharge by sewage treatment plants on health, agricultural, and environmental quality in the wastewater disposal area. *Chemosphere*, 55(2), 227–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2003.10.050>
- [35] Steffen W, Broadgate W, Deutsch L, Gaffney O, Ludwig C. The trajectory of the Anthropocene: the great acceleration. *Anthropocene Rev*. 2015;2(1):81-98. [doi:10.1177/2053019614564785](https://doi.org/10.1177/2053019614564785)
- [36] Su, L., Li, J., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Plastic pollution and its irreversible threat to biodiversity: Global perspectives and policy needs. *Science of The Total Environment*, 879, 162918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162918>
- [37] Su, L., Xue, Y., Li, L., Yang, D., Kolandhasamy, P., Li, D., & Shi, H. (2023). Microplastics in Taihu Lake, China. *Environmental Pollution*, 216,
- [38] Syberg K, Khan FR, Selck H, Palmqvist A. Risk assessment of microplastics: hazards and exposure. *Front Environ Sci*. 2015;3:55. [doi:10.3389/fenvs.2015.00055](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2015.00055)
- [39] The Times. (2025, January 15). *Labour blocks bee-harming insecticide - frustrating farmers*. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/labour-blocks-bee-harming-insecticide-frustrating-farmers-7kpdllsswz>
- [40] Vighi M, Villa S. Ecotoxicology: bridging between ecology and toxicology. *Sci Total Environ*. 2013;435-436:252-260. [doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.07.041)
- [41] Vijver MG, Hunting ER, van den Brink PJ. Emerging contaminants in aquatic ecosystems: challenges and future directions. *Sci Total Environ*. 2022;845:157265. [doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157265](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157265)
- [42] Villarrubia-Gómez, P., Cornell, S. E., & Fabres, J. (2024). Plastics pollution exacerbates the impacts of all planetary boundaries. *One Earth*, 7(12), 2119–2138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2024.10.017>
- [43] Wang, L., Zhang, H., & Chen, Y. (2022). Advances in bioremediation of industrial wastewater: A sustainable approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 360, 132120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132120>
- [44] Zhang, Y., Wang, L., & Chen, H. (2024). Development and evaluation of aquatic and terrestrial food web bioaccumulation models for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 58(40), 17828–17837. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c02134>